

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,451	HOPPER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ha T. Nguyen	2812	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ha T. Nguyen.

(2) Mr. Mark C. Pickering (Reg. No. 36,239).

**Status of Application:** To be allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*39 and 43*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called Mr. Pickering to propose an examiner's amendment that would put the claims in conditions for allowance (see examiner's amendment). Mr. Pickering authorized the amendment*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)